

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/627,565	07/24/2003	Kwang-Shin Lim	4591-325 3405	
7590 08/24/2004			EXAMINER	
MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.			MACARTHUR, SYLVIA	
1030 S.W. Morrison Street Portland, OR 97205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1763	

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/627,565	LIM ET AL.			
omee Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication as	Sylvia R MacArthur	1763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sneet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till b	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 c	lulv 2004				
· ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 17-19 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7-15 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 July 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Application In the second in the secon	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary				
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Si ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/19/2004.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/627,565

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

2. Claims 1, 2,5, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee (US 4,256,229).

Claim 1: Lee teaches a boat for wafer processing. Lee teaches a chamber (not shown) and a guide (boat 10) is designed for holding a plurality of wafers 11. A boat 10 (guide) includes a supporting member (bottom rods 12 and 13) and a stopper member (rails 15 and 16).

Claim 2: Figure 1 of Lee illustrates the supporting member and a stopper member having a plurality of slots, the outer circumferential edges of the wafers being inserted into the slots.

Claim 5: The slots in the supporting member and the stopper member are V-shaped.

Claim 16: Lee lists cleaning as one of the processing operations wherein his apparatus is employed.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,565

Art Unit: 1763

3. Claims 1-6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishikiori et al (US 5,704,494).

Claim 1: Nishikiori et al teaches a disc holder 100 supporting a plurality of discs 100. Col. 1 lines 5-14 teaches a chamber (unshown). The guide 100 includes at least one supporting member (lower beams 16c or 18c and side posts 16d and 16e) and a stopper member (end wall 12).

Claim 2: Nishikiori et al teaches a supporting member and each stopper member has plurality of slots (recesses and grooves).

Claim 3: Nishikiori et al teaches the stopper member is in a higher position than a portion of the outer circumferential edge that is contacted by the supporting member as illustrated in Fig.1.

Claim 4: Nishikiori et al teaches the guide comprises a first supporting member that supports a wafer at a first point and a second supporting member that supports a wafer a second point. The second point (lower beam 18c) is lower than the first (18h)

Claim 5: The supporting member and stopper members comprise slots that are shaped like a V in Fig.1

Application/Control Number: 10/627,565 Page 4

Art Unit: 1763

Claim 6: The guide of Nishikiori teaches a third supporting member and a second supporting member are substantially symmetrical with respective to the first supporting member see 16h and 18h.

Claim 16: Nishikiori et al teaches that the disc holder is used in a chemical treatment.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 17-19 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 7-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a transfer robot as discussed in claims 7-15.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sylvia R MacArthur whose telephone number is 571-272-1438. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F during the core hours of 8 a.m. and 2 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory L. Mills can be reached on 571-272-1439. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sylvia R MacArthur
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1763

August 23, 2004